

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,907	08/21/2003	Hans Boeck	Q74473	6445
23373	7590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT	
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N	1.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		2855	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H: F
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Symmetry	10/644,907	BOECK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric S. McCall	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.5	September 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,8,9 and 11-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-7 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: 		bioated to by the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- ' '	·	١
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	,.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	d) ☐ Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cried (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

TEST STAND WITH TIPPING DEVICE FOR MOTOR VEHICLES

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Applicant's arguments dated Sep. 14, 2005.

CLAIMS

35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

In view of the Applicant's perfection of priority, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) over Kemp et al. (2003/0230137) has been overcome.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Taylor (2,929,519).

With respect to independent claim 1, Taylor suggests (Fig. 1) a stand having a tipping

device comprising:

a lower frame unit (the base frame assembly 12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower

frame unit; and

four lifting units (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) respectively

disposed in corner zones of the frame units, each of the lifting units operable to independently tip

the upper frame (each lifting unit includes a cylinder which provides the ability for each lifting

unit to move independently of the other lifting units).

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 1 has

not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said

phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor

vehicle).

With respect to claim 2, Taylor suggests in Fig. 1 the lower frame unit and the upper

frame unit are interconnected exclusively via the lifting units (Fig. 6).

Art Unit: 2855

With respect to claim 8, Taylor suggests the four lifting units being configured exclusively for tipping the upper frame unit (Fig. 5).

With respect to claim 9, Taylor suggests the lifting units are controlled with a control terminal via a central control unit (Fig. 1; 81-84, 86).

With respect to independent claim 11, Taylor clearly anticipates by way of Fig. 1 a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit (12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

lifting means (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) attached to the lower frame unit and connectable to the upper frame that tip the upper frame, the lifting means providing the sole source of tipping.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 11 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

Page 5

With respect to claim 12, Taylor suggests the lifting means being disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 13, Taylor suggests the lifting means includes four piston rods (the piston rods of each of the respective cylinder hoists, 48).

With respect to claim 14, Taylor suggests that the piston rods are independently operable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 15, Taylor suggests the lifting means being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

With respect to independent claim 16, Taylor clearly anticipates by way of Fig. 1 a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit (12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

lifting units (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) extendable in an axial direction, each of the lifting units operable to independently (col. 5, lines 1-9) tip the upper frame.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 16 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

With respect to claim 17, Taylor suggests each of the lifting units being independently extendable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 18, Taylor suggests the lifting units being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

With respect to claim 19, Taylor suggests the lifting units comprising four piston rods (the piston rods of each of the respective cylinder hoists, 48) disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 has been found to be allowable over the prior art.

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

The Applicant's arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

The Applicant has argued that the prior art of Taylor fails to teach four (4) lifting units nor would the assemblies be operable to independently tip the upper frame as claimed.

In response, the Examiner points out that the prior art of Taylor discloses four (4) lifting units which comprise the two fore (14) and the two aft (15) linkage assemblies. Each of the "assemblies" are interpreted as comprising the respective hydraulic cylinder (48) and the associated linkage therewith. This clearly can be seen in figures 1 and 3-5.

These four lifting units are interpreted as being disposed in the corner zones of the frame units as claimed. Figure 6 of Taylor shows just one of the four "corner zones".

Furthermore, the *pair* of supporting rails (16) in Taylor is that which is interpreted as the upper frame unit as claimed.

Finally, since each lifting unit is independent of the other lifting units and each lifting unit has a respective hydraulic cylinder, each lifting unit is operable to operate separately and thus to independently tip the upper frame.

With respect to the Applicant's comments pertaining to independent claim 11 and to the clarification of the lifting units of the Taylor teaching. One having ordinary skill in the art armed with the Taylor teaching would clearly have the knowledge that figure 6 of Taylor shows a "lifting unit" (or as phrased in claim 11 a "lifting means"). Figure 6 shows just one of the four

Application/Control Number: 10/644,907 Page 8

Art Unit: 2855

respective "lifting units" in each corner zone of the taught stand. As can be seen, each "lifting unit" includes a hydraulic cylinder (48) and the respective linkage therewith (for example, elements 44, 46, 47, 49, 53, etc.) and is interpreted as being located in the respective corner zones of the stand.

CONCLUSION

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,907 Page 9

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855

Nov. 22, 2005